Request for a Special Meeting

Date of Submission: 11/13/2026

Number of petition pages: 13

Number of submitted signatures: 78

Number of non-registered signatures: 3

Total number of eligible signatures: 75

Town Clerk Signature: Beth Billyard

Date: 11-17-26

11/17/26 @ 4:19 pm
Scanned and sent to
George

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C) and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.46	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.61	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Leslie R Woods	Leslie R Woods	Rumford me. 20 Congress 04276	11/12/2026
Jeffrey Swan	Jeffrey Swan	16 Holyoke Ave Rumford me 04276	11/12/2026
Michaela Snyder	Michaela Snyder	91 Canal St 04276	11/12/2026
D and		220 Franklin St	11/12/26
Gail D. Dakin	Gail D. Dakin	10 Landing Ave.	11-12-26
Maurice P Ray	M P Ray	7185 Rumford Rd	11-12-26

Sheet number: 1 Circulator name: Candace Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 1 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candace Case, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 281 Greenwood 268 Main St

Circulator signature: Candace Case Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

NOV 12 2026

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024–25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
✓ David Muzik	David Muzik	630 Franklin	11-12-26
✓ Terry Volkernick	Terry Volkernick	Rumford	11-12-26
✓ Dennis Moro	Denni W. Moro	21 Ballwin Ter	11-12-26
✓ Barbara Pelletier	Barbara Pelletier	7 Kimball Rd	11-12-26
✓ Joseph A Pelletier	Joseph Pelletier	7 Kimball Rd	11/12/26
NR Cindy Hamanne	Cindy Hamanne	401 Waldo St.	11-12-26

Sheet number: 2 Circulator name: Carolyn Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 2 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Casey, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: 26 Kingswood 208 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on NOV 12 2026 by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: BETH BELLEGARDE

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V. Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only. It does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
✓ Freddie White	Freddie White	590 Prospect Avenue	11/12/26
✓ Gail Manson	Gail Manson	79 cedar ln	11/12/26
NR ✓ Dahlia Richard	Dahlia Richard	33 Falmouth	11/12/26
NR ✓ E CARRIER	E Carrier	HOMELESS	11/12/26
✓ Sharon L Kennedy	Sharon L Kennedy	34 Isthmus Road,	11/12/26
✓ David Knox	David Knox	34 Isthmus Road	11/12/26

Sheet number: 3 Circulator name: Candice Carrier

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 3 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Casey, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: 108 Rumford Center 8 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

NOV 12 2026

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Jeff Amero		330 Washington ST	11-12-26
Pauline Wilson	Pauline Wilson	298 Spruce St Rumford	11-12-26
Sandra Gautreau	Sandra Gautreau	780 Somerset St Rumford	11/12/26
Kimberley Gagnon	Kimberley Gagnon	777 Somerset St Rumford	11/12/26
Herbert K Hoole	Herbert K Hoole	15 Bernadine Rumford	11/12/26
Penny Cook	Penny Cook	[illegible]	11/12/26

Sheet number: 4 Circulator name: Sandra Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 4 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Casey, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 2816 Rumford 208 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

NOV 12 2026

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Ann Marie Blouin	Ann M Blouin	714 Neencock St	11-12-26
Gary L Casey	Gary L Casey	185 Mt View Rd	11-12-26
Edward Maybury	Edward B. Maybury	485 Prospect Avenue	11-12-26
Cheryl Lynch	Cheryl Lynch	36 Urquhart St	11.12.26
Sarah MacLean	Sarah MacLean	195 Andover Rd	11.12.26
Bill DAVIS	Wm Davis	71 WYMAN hill	11-12-26

Sheet number: 5 Circulator name: Gail C Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 3 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candra Casey, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 384 Greenwood 268 Main St

Circulator signature: Candra Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____. NOV 12 2026

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Thomas N. Puiia	[signature]	2 East Andover Rd.	11-12-2026
Linda MACGREGOR	[signature]	1371 Rte 2	11/12/26
Jack Blanchard	[signature]	427 Washington	11-12-26
Kathleen Fuller	[signature]	427 So. Rumford Rd	11-12-26
Jasmine Larsen	[signature]	717 South Rumford Rd	11/12/26
[signature]	DANIEL LOWETH	33 ANDROSCOGGIN AVE	11/12/26

Sheet number: 6 Circulator name: Candice Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 4 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

Candice Casey being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 258 Greenwood 268 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Casey Date: 11/10/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on NOV 12 2026 by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C) and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Penelope Carrier	Penelope Carrier	16 Eaton Hill Rd, Rumford	11-12-26
Brendan James	Brendan James	21 Baldwin Ter Rumford	11/12/26
Cindy Toher	Cynthia L Toher	2 Fontaine Ave Rumford	11/12/26
Beverly Dwyer	[illegible]	202 [illegible]	11/12/26
Marilyn Caldwell	Marilyn Caldwell	138 Washington St.	11/12/18
Jeanne Cushing	Jeanne Cushing	141 ~~MARKET RD~~ MARTIN RD	11/12/26

Sheet number: 7 Circulator name: Candice Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 7 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Cates, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 26 Greenwood 268 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Cates Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____.

NOV 12 2026

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Edward Bulger	Edward Bulger	222 Maple St.	11-12-2026
JOHN MARTIN	John Martin	336 Levesque RD	11-12-26
RICH LEEBER	Rich Leeber	49 OAKCREST	11-12-26
Deborah DeRoche	Deborah DeRoche	33 Androscoggin Ave.	11-12-26
Mary Greaney	Mary Greaney	48 Wyman Hill Rd.	11-12-26
Tom Hoyt	Thomas Hoyt	48 Wyman Hill RD	11/12/26

Sheet number: 9 Circulator name: Candice Cossy

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 5 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

Candice Casey, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 286 Rumford 268 Main St

Circulator signature Candice Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____. NOV 12 2026

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,339.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
✓ Robert West	R West	20 Congress St. Apt. 207	11/12/26
✓ Anne Morin	Anne Morin	473 Andover Rd	11/12/26
✓ Barry Allen	Barry Allen	473 Andover Rd	11/12/26
✓ Tina Olivas		514 Hancock St #16 Rumford	11/12/26
✓ Jason Hui	Jason Hui	495 High Street Rumford ME	11/12/26
✓ Sherry Bennett	Sherry Bennett	90 Wyman Hill	11/12/26

Sheet number: 9 Circulator name: Candace Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 9 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I Candia Casey being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 286 Greenwood 208 Main St

Circulator signature: Candia Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____ NOV 12 2026

Notary signature: _____ Printed name: _____ BETH BELLEGARDE

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable] NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Susan J. Price	Susan J Price	22 Franklin St	11/12/26
Brenda Duff	Brenda Duff	40 cedar Ln	11/12/26
Amadeo Rosario	Amadeo Rosario	101 Hancock St. #401	11/12/2026
Mark R. Hunt	Mark R. Hunt	914 Prospect Ave	11/12/26
Arthur Mercier	Arthur Mercier	11 Baldwin Terrace	11/12/26
Amy Hagan	Amy Hagan	27 So. Rumford Rd	11/12/26

Sheet number: 2 Circulator name: Candace Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 10 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Casey being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: P.O Box 284 Greenwood 468 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on NOV 12 2026 by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.60	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,512.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
✓ Vincent Dagnay	Vincent Dagnay	216 Penobscot st Rumford me 04276	11/12/2026
✓ Gary Dallaff	Gary Dallaff	6-2 Franklin St Rumford	11/12/26
✓ Gary Morrison	Gary A Morrison	15 Urquhart St. Rumford	11/12/26
✓ TAMERA Brent Daigneault	Daigneault	1450 BACKfield Rd Rumford	11/12/26
✓ Larry Murphy LARRY MURPHY	Larry Murphy	235 York St	11-12-26
✓ PAT KIEMENSNIDER	Pat Kiemensnider	1308 ROUTE 2 RUMFORD	11/12/26

Sheet number: 1 Circulator name: Candice Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 11 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I Candice Casey being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 286 Greenwood 268 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on _____ by _____ NOV 12 2026

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: _____

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
S. Reed	S Reed	151 Hall Hill Rd Rumford	11/12/26
Dave Hoyt	Dave Hoyt	34 Martin Rd Rumford	11/12/2026
Christine Hoyt	Christine Hoyt	34 Martin Rd Rumford	11/12/2026
Tyler Knox	Tyler Knox	343 Route 102 Rumford	11/12/26
Brian Harvey	Brian Harvey	70 Pennacook Rd Rumf	11-12-26
Suzanne Harvey	Suzanne Harvey	70 Pennacook Rd Rumf	11-12-26

Sheet number: 12 Circulator name: Candice Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated. Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 12 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Casey, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 286 Greenwood 208 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Anne Casey Date: 11/12/2026

State of Maine County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on NOV 12 2026 by _____.

Notary signature: _____ Printed name: _____

BETH BELLEGARDE
NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ [Stamp or seal, if applicable]

Request for a Special Town Meeting

To the Rumford Board of Selectpersons | Charter Articles III, Section 3(C), and V, Section 6 | November 10, 2026 | R13

We, the undersigned qualified voters of Rumford, request a Special Town Meeting, with separate secret-ballot votes, on the current-year appropriation reductions below and the complete proposed action on the reverse. **Critical circumstance:** increased tax bills burden residents facing winter expenses. Prompt action is needed while current-year funds remain unspent and uncommitted. Waiting for next year would forgo potential current-year savings.

Table 1. Proposed Budget Reductions. June 2026 $ is the adopted annual budget; Target $ is the proposed FY2024-25 funding level; Maximum cut $ is the difference. The 2026 and 2024 article columns identify the corresponding warrant articles. Each department row is a separate proposed question governed by the reverse-side terms.

Appropriation	2026 article	2024 article	June 2026 $	Target $	Maximum cut $
General government	13	15	1,648,146.48	1,315,710.00	332,436.48
Police	14	17	2,127,642.00	1,249,909.00	877,733.00
Fire	15	18	1,769,114.80	1,237,989.00	531,125.80
Public safety	16	16	1,056,839.64	850,563.00	206,276.64
Health and sanitation	17	19	1,108,057.73	1,037,238.00	70,819.73
Public works	18	20	1,907,350.87	1,799,049.00	108,301.87
Public library	20	22	299,117.80	279,285.00	19,832.80
Unclassified accounts	24	26	2,173,612.30	1,822,975.00	350,637.30
TOTAL MAXIMUM CUT					2,497,163.62

Protected funding: No reduction is proposed to General Assistance or any initiated article, including Community Concepts, Hope Association and the other citizen-supported organizations.

Table 2. Police and fire budget history

Fiscal year	Police budget	Fire budget
2020-21	$871,070.00	$810,993.00
2021-22	$912,053.00	$854,121.00
2022-23	$1,054,396.00	$925,449.00
2023-24	$1,141,843.00	$1,079,191.00
2024-25	$1,249,909.00	$1,237,989.00
2025-26	$2,000,671.00	$1,269,898.64
2026-27	$2,127,642.00	$1,769,114.80
Total increase	+144.3%	+118.1%

Table 2 provides historical comparisons only; it does not propose additional cuts. Police and fire budgets rose 144.3% and 118.1% from FY2020-21 to FY2026-27. Figures are total department budgets, not individual salaries. Source: annual town-meeting appropriations, 2020-2026.

Signer statement: I am a qualified Rumford voter, have had an opportunity to read both sides, and join the complete request, Table 1 on the front page and proposed action. I have not previously signed this version.

Printed name	Signature	Rumford residence address	Date
Thomas Pelletier	Thomas Pelletier	21 Wyman Hill Rd	11-12-26
Bonnie Pelletier	Bonnie Pelletier	21 Wyman Hill Rd	11-12-26
David Lamoreau	David Lamoreau	735 Spring Ave	11/12/26
Patricia Coolidge	Patricia Coolidge	100 Lincoln Ave	11-12-26
Greg Chiasson	Greg Chiasson	355 Swain Rd	11-12-26
Sharon Welch	Sharon Welch	310 Swain Rd	11-12-26

Sheet number: 13 Circulator name: Andrea Casey

Complete proposed action and verification

Reverse of initial 25-voter request | Table 1 on the front is incorporated; Table 2 is historical background | November 10, 2026 | R13

Proposed question for each department row of Table 1. Shall the Town partially rescind the June 9, 2026 appropriation identified by that row, effective upon adoption during FY2026-27, by the reduction calculated below, and implement the resulting spending limit? Each row is a separate question; Table 1 on the front page and the following terms form part of each question.

Exact reduction. For each department in Table 1, the cut is the smallest of: (1) its listed Maximum cut $; (2) the amount legally available to cut, as explained below; or (3) the amount by which its legally authorized annual budget immediately before this vote exceeds its listed Target $. Include documented intervening budget amendments when determining that authorized budget. The cut cannot be less than zero. Subtract the cut from that authorized budget to determine the new spending limit. This vote cannot increase a budget or reduce it below the listed target. Earlier cuts cannot be counted again.

Legal limits and documentation. The amount legally available to cut excludes expenditures already incurred, enforceable obligations that cannot lawfully be avoided, and funding required to fulfill statutory duties, without double-counting. A spending plan or unused budget authorization alone is not an enforceable obligation. Nothing in this petition concedes the validity or enforceability of any contract. No enforceable contract is impaired and no money already lawfully paid is ordered recovered. The accounting report requested here is not an attachment: the Town Manager and Treasurer are asked to prepare and sign it before issuance of the warrant, listing each department's authorized budget immediately before the vote, its target and maximum cut from Table 1, the amount legally available to cut, the calculated cut, and the resulting spending limit. For each excluded amount, the report should identify the amount, date, specific legal or contractual provision, expiration date if applicable, approval record, and why the expense cannot lawfully be reduced, subject to lawful confidentiality. Any disputed calculation should be identified expressly. The formula, not an unrestricted discretionary choice of a smaller cut, governs the proposed reduction.

Implementation and accountability. Upon adoption, officials shall implement the reduced appropriations through lawful personnel and operating-cost changes, prioritizing staffing, overtime and compensation where legally adjustable. This vote does not amend collective bargaining agreements or pension rights. Within 30 days after adoption, the Board is requested to publish the calculations, reductions entered in the accounts and implementation measures, with monthly spending reports thereafter through June 30, 2027. Released funds shall be accounted for under applicable law; this vote does not authorize their expenditure elsewhere.

Protected appropriations and tax effect. General Assistance, its reimbursement authority, all initiated articles, Public Service, Capital Accounts, Debt Service and other unlisted appropriations remain unchanged. These are spending-authority reductions, not cancellation or abatement of committed tax bills. Before the vote, the Board is requested to publish the net savings after related revenue changes, their tax-rate equivalent, and any legally authorized means of applying savings to taxpayer relief. Any relief requiring a separate ordinance, appropriation or assessment decision must be separately authorized.

Separate school and county question. Shall the Town request that RSU 10 and Oxford County pursue lawful current-year spending and assessment reductions toward Rumford's respective FY2024-25 school assessment and 2024 county assessment, and that the Board present those requests and report the responses publicly? This question is advisory and does not reduce or authorize withholding either assessment.

Affected votes and procedure. The June 9, 2026 appropriation votes identified in Table 1 on the front page are the votes proposed for partial rescission; the 2024 votes supply comparison amounts only. No statute, charter provision or ordinance is amended or repealed. We request separate secret-ballot questions under Charter Article III, Section 3(C), with applicable notice and election procedures. Each appropriation question and the school/county question are independent and severable. We request Board action on this initial 25-voter request within five days of receipt under Article V, Section 6, and a written explanation identifying the authority for any refusal or proposed substantive revision.

Circulator affidavit

Sheet number: 13 Number of voter signatures on this sheet: 6

I, Candice Caler, being duly sworn, state that I personally circulated this complete two-sided sheet; every voter signature counted above was signed in my presence; I believe the signatures are genuine; each signer had an opportunity to read both sides and stated that they had not previously signed this version. To the best of my knowledge and belief, no signer on this sheet has signed this version more than once.

Residence address: PO Box 281, Greenwood ME 2108 Main St

Circulator signature: Candice Caler Date: 11/12/2026

State of ME County of Oxford

Subscribed and sworn to before me on NOV 12 [illegible] by _____.

Notary signature: Beth Bellegarde Printed name: BETH BELLEGARDE

NOTARY PUBLIC, STATE OF MAINE
MY COMMISSION EXPIRES 5/6/2029

Commission expiration: _____ (Stamp or seal, if applicable)